The Effects of Musical Training on Structural Brain Development

A Longitudinal Study
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Long-term instrumental music training is an intense, multisensory and motor experience that offers an ideal opportunity to study structural brain plasticity in the developing brain in correlation with behavioral changes induced by training. Here, for the first time, we demonstrate structural brain changes after only 15 months of musical training in early childhood, which were correlated with improvements in musically relevant motor and auditory skills. These findings shed light on brain plasticity, and suggest that structural brain differences in adult experts (whether musicians or experts in other areas) are likely due to training-induced brain plasticity.
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Introduction

Studies comparing adult musicians with matched nonmusicians have revealed structural and functional differences in musically relevant brain regions, such as sensorimotor brain areas,¹⁻³ auditory areas,⁴⁻⁷ and multimodal integration areas.⁸⁻¹¹ However, no studies have yet examined structural brain and behavioral changes in the developing brain in response to long-term music training to specifically address the question of whether structural brain differences seen in adults (comparing experts with matched controls) are a product of “nature” or “nurture.”

As part of an ongoing longitudinal study of the effects of music training on brain, behavioral, and cognitive development in young children,¹²,¹³ here we investigated structural brain changes in relation to behavioral changes in young children who received 15 months of instrumental musical training relative to a group of children who did not. We used deformation-based morphometry (DBM),¹⁴ an unbiased and automated approach to brain morphology, to search throughout the whole brain on a voxel-wise basis for local brain size (voxel expansions or contractions) differences between groups over the 15 months.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The Instrumental group consisted of 15 children (mean age at start of study: 6.32 years old,
SD 0.82 years) who received private keyboard instruction for 15 months. The Control group consisted of 16 children (mean age at start of study: 5.90 years old, SD 0.54 years) who did not receive any instrumental music training during this 15-month period, but did participate in a weekly group music class in school (i.e., singing and drums). The Instrumental and Control children were all right-handed and matched as closely as possible in gender, age at the start of the study, and socioeconomic status. At time 1, all children were tested on a series of behavioral tests, and underwent an MRI scan (scan 1). At time 2 (15 months later), all children were retested on the behavioral tests and underwent a second MRI scan (scan 2). This research was approved by the ethics committees of the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

**Behavioral Tests**

Children were tested individually at times 1 and 2 on two musically relevant behavioral tasks: a 4-finger motor sequencing test for the left and right hands assessing fine finger motor skills, and a custom-made “Melodic and Rhythmic Discrimination Test Battery,” assessing music listening and discrimination skills. Five nonmusical tasks were also administered: the Object Assembly, Block Design, and Vocabulary subtests of the WICS-III, the Raven's Progressive Matrices, and the Auditory Analysis Test (see Refs. 12 and 18 for details). Behavioral “difference scores” measuring the difference in performance on the behavioral tests from time 1 to time 2 were calculated and then correlated with brain deformation measures.

**Brain Analyses**

T1-weighted anatomic MRI scans were obtained for all children on a 3T General Electric MRI scanner. Automated deformation brain analyses were performed on the T1 MRI data for each child using MNI autoreg tools. Statistical analyses were performed according to the general linear model and results were thresholded using random field theory cluster thresholding.

**Results and Discussion**

There were no behavioral or brain differences between the Instrumental and Control children at base line (prior to any music training). These results support the view that brain differences seen in adult musicians relative to nonmusicians are more likely to be the product of intensive music training rather than biological predispositions to music.

As predicted, Instrumental children showed greater behavioral improvements over the 15 months on the finger motor task and the melody/rhythmic tasks, but not on the nonmusical tasks. In addition, Instrumental children showed areas of greater relative voxel size change over the 15 months as compared to Controls in motor brain areas, such as the right precentral gyrus (motor hand area, Fig. 1A), and the corpus callosum (4th and 5th segment/midbody, Fig. 1B), as well as in a right primary auditory region (Heschl's gyrus, Fig. 1C). These brain deformation differences are consistent with structural brain differences found between adult musicians and nonmusicians in the precentral gyri, the corpus callosum, and auditory cortex.

The brain deformation changes found between Instrumental and Control children in motor and auditory brain areas, were predicted by behavioral improvement scores on the finger-motor (Fig. 1A and B) and melody/rhythmic tasks (Fig. 1C), respectively. These results are important from a functional perspective since these brain regions are known to be of critical importance in instrumental music performance and auditory processing. For example, the primary motor area plays a critical role in motor planning, execution, and control of bimanual sequential finger movements as well as motor learning, and intense bimanual motor training of musicians could play
Figure 1. Group brain deformation differences. The brain images in panels A, B, and C show areas of significant brain deformation (DBM) differences over 15 months in Instrumental (n = 15) versus Control (n = 16) children in terms of a t-statistical color map of the significant clusters superimposed on an average MR image of all children. The significant positive correlations of relative voxel size with behavioral difference scores (from time 1 to time 2, either on the left-hand motor task or the melody/rhythmic task) for each child are plotted at the peak (most significant voxel shown by the yellow arrow) in the right primary motor area (precentral gyrus; x = 40, y = −7, z = 57; t = 4.2, P < 0.05 at whole-brain cluster threshold) in panel A, in the corpus callosum (x = 14, y = −24, z = 30; t = 5.2, P < 0.05 at whole-brain cluster threshold) in panel B, and in the right primary auditory area (Heschl’s gyrus; x = 55, y = −8, z = 10; t = 4.9, P < 0.1 at a priori cluster threshold) in panel C. A relative voxel size of 1 indicates no brain deformation change from time 1 and values greater than 1 indicate voxel expansion, whereas values less than 1 indicate voxel contraction. For example, a value of 1.1 at voxel X indicates a 10% expansion from time 1, whereas 0.9 indicates a 10% contraction. (In color in Annals online.)

an important role in the determination of callosal fiber composition and size.\textsuperscript{21} The correlation found between the brain deformation measures and the melody/rhythmic test battery in the right primary auditory region is consistent with functional brain mapping studies that have found activity changes using auditory-musical tests in similar auditory regions.\textsuperscript{26}
While structural brain differences were expected in motor and auditory brain areas, unexpected significant brain deformation differences were also found in various frontal areas, the left posterior peri-cingulate, and a left middle occipital region. However, none of these unexpected deformation changes were correlated with motor or auditory test performance changes. These findings indicate that plasticity can occur in brain regions that control primary functions important for playing a musical instrument, and also in brain regions that might be responsible for the kind of multimodal sensorimotor integration likely to underlie instrumental learning.

These results provide new evidence for training-induced structural brain plasticity in early childhood. These findings of structural plasticity in the young brain suggest that long-term intervention programs can facilitate neuroplasticity in children. Such an intervention could be of particular relevance to children with developmental disorders and to adults with neurologic diseases.
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